

# The Circle of Life in Islamic Thought

William C Chittick

Stony Brook University

In the phenomenology of Anna-Teresa Tymieniecka, life is the ultimate point of reference and the center of concern.<sup>1</sup> Much of what she says about life's role in the world, the emergence of variety in the living realm, and the manner in which the human condition allows for "an inventive/creative profusion of representations detached from existence"<sup>2</sup> would be familiar to scholars of Islamic philosophy. However, traditional Muslim philosophers, faced with her phenomenology, would find her silence on several issues rather deafening. Three of these can serve as examples: first, the real nature of the ultimate point of reference; second, the supra-human dimensions of human creative virtualities; and third, the role of death in the fulfillment of life.

The starting point of Islamic thought is not the world as it gives itself to a generic us, because most people are forgetful and negligent. Rather, the starting point is the world as it gives itself to those who have heard the reminder and have remembered. In the Islamic way of thinking, the reminder comes from the Ultimate Principle both by way of the call of the prophets and by way of the innate human condition. The proper response to the reminder begins with "the assertion of the unity of the Real"—*tawhîd* in Arabic. This assertion is the first principle of Islamic thought, and it is understood as the innate intuition of any normal soul.

In both Islamic philosophy and Sufism, *tawhîd* is largely taken for granted. The philosopher or sage does not set out to explain that reality is ultimately one, because that is obvious. Rather, he wants to bring out the implications of this oneness for our perception of the universe and our becoming. In a typical treatise, the author might explain how the unity of the ultimate Principle demands the appearance of the universe along with human beings, elaborate upon the manner in which human beings play a unique role in the overall economy of the universe, and then explain why the whole process necessarily curves back upon the point of origin.

The task of the thinker is not to declare the self-evident unity of the First Principle, but rather to throw light upon the qualities and characteristics of unity and then to explain how these give rise to the world of appearances and impinge on our human nature. The point of the exercise is to set down guidelines for discerning human priorities and living a life worthy of our true nature. Philosophers will speak in terms that recall the abstracting and relatively non-mythic tendency of the Greek tradition, using the tools of Aristotelian logic and the arguments and insights of Neoplatonism. In contrast, Sufis are likely to avoid abstract terminology and discuss the Ultimate Principle in terms of the mythic language of the *Koran*

and the imagery and symbols of the poetic tradition, all the while stressing the centrality of experiential knowledge. Philosophers and, with even more reason, Sufis will speak not as theoreticians but as physicians of the soul. It is not without reason that Avicenna called his grand *summa* of logic, psychology, and metaphysics *al-Shifā'*; "The Healing."

In both philosophy and Sufism, human perfection is envisaged as the full actualization of the potentialities that are present because human beings were created as complete and total images of the Real.<sup>3</sup> The Sufis often discuss the achievement of perfection in terms of "union" with God, which is the discovery of one's identity with the divine image and the fulfillment of the proper human role in both the cosmos and society. The philosophers stress the attainment of connection with the Universal Intellect and the actualization of the virtues latent in the soul. The language and methodologies of the two perspectives differ, but both presuppose that human beings alone, among all finite things, have the possibility of achieving a mysterious oneness with the Infinite Source of all being and a perfect congruence with the Absolute Origin of the universe.

In both Sufism and philosophy, the Ultimate Principle is commonly called *wujūd*, a word that is typically translated as "being" or "existence." Such translations, however, obscure a point that is obvious in the original Arabic. The literal meaning of the word is to find, uncover, perceive, sense, and be aware. Only gradually did it come to the preferred term to designate the ultimate Reality and to provide a means of conceptualizing existence *vis-à-vis* quiddity or essence. When *wujūd* is translated as "being" or "existence," we are likely to forget that it implies not only the fact of being there, but also the effulgence of life and consciousness.

In the Sufi tradition, as represented by its greatest theoretical exponent, Ibn 'Arabī (d 1240), *wujūd* certainly means "to exist," but it also means "to find" the Ultimate Principle within oneself and in all things. As ascribed to the Real, *wujūd* designates both absolute existence and absolute consciousness. For the aspiring seeker, truly to find the goal of the quest is truly to *be*, and truly to be is to see with God's eyes, hear with God's ears, and speak with God's tongue. It was not only the Sufis, however, who stressed this experiential dimension of *wujūd*. Several of the philosophers also asserted that true knowing is nothing but *wujūd*, that is, a being-cum-awareness that finds the known object present in the self that knows. The ultimate goal of the philosophical quest was commonly known as "conjunction" (*ittiṣāl*) with the Intellect, and it was understood to mean that the seeker finds the source of all wisdom and all reality within himself. The discussion of "presential knowledge" (*ilm huḍūrī*), associated with the names of Suhrawardī and Mullā Sadrā, is closely tied up with the understanding that the "presence" (*huḍūr*) of the known thing in the awareness of the knower is nothing but the *wujūd* of the thing. When a thing is known, it is "found" by the soul and it "exists" for the soul and in the soul.

Afdal al-Dīn Kāshānī, a twelfth century Neo-Aristotelian and a contemporary of Averroes, Ibn 'Arabī, and Suhrawardī, elaborates on the two-sided meaning of the word *wujūd* in order to explain the manner in which reality as we experience it unfolds in ascending stages. Writing in Persian, he points out that the Arabic word

existence, exemplified by the material prerequisites of specific corporeal things, *wujūd* means potential being. At the next level, in corporeal things qua specific bodies, *wujūd* means actual being. At a still higher level, in the various degrees of life found in the plant, animal, and human domains, *wujūd* means not only the thing's being, but also its potential to perceive and find other things. Only when the word is ascribed to the complete human being (*marḍum-i tamām*) does it denote the fullness of its own meaning, that is, actual being and actual finding. *Wujūd* at its highest stage is for the human self to find in itself that it is identical with all things and with the finder that finds all things.<sup>4</sup>

## Life and Death

*Tawḥīd*—the assertion of unity that is the axiom of Islamic thought—demands that all reality be rooted in the Ultimately Real. The moment we speak of "life," we need to recognize that it can only be grounded in the Real. Not only that, but real, permanent, actual, and stable life can belong only to the Real, because the Real alone is alive by its nature. Any other sort of life—such as the life that we experience as our own—will be unstable, impermanent, and unreliable. In other words, any life other than the Real's own life must be understood along with its opposite, which is death.

The *Koran* already clarifies the ambiguity of cosmic life in three names by which it calls God—Alive (*ḥayy*), Life-giver (*mūhyī*), and Death-giver (*munīṭ*). In himself God can only be alive, but when we speak of the life that he bestows upon things in the cosmos, we need to speak in terms of duality and opposition. The correlative names, Life-giver and Death-giver, express the fact that it is the Alive who bestows life and then takes it away. To say that God is "Alive" means that God alone is truly alive, and other things, to the extent that they can be considered alive, must have derived their life from him. And, in giving life, God also gives death.

In discussing the divine attributes that allow for the appearance of the universe, Ibn 'Arabī points out that each of them depends upon life. To speak of God as merciful, or forgiving, or creating—as the *Koran* often does—only makes sense if God is first alive. As Ibn 'Arabī writes,

The attribution of life to the Divine Essence is a precondition for the correct attribution of every relation that is attributed to God, such as knowledge, desire, power, speech, hearing, seeing, and perception. If the relation of life were eliminated from Him, all those relations would also be eliminated.<sup>5</sup>

If "life" is an attribute of the very Essence of the Real, and if all divine attributes depend upon it, then the whole universe depends upon life, because the universe derives its being and attributes from the being and attributes of God. This is so much so, says Ibn 'Arabī, that life is inseparable from the essence and existence of each thing, just as it is inseparable from the Essence and Reality of

The name Alive is an essential name of the Real—glory be to Him! Therefore, nothing can emerge from Him but living things. Hence, all the cosmos is alive, for indeed the non-existence of life, or the existence in the cosmos of an existent thing that is not alive, has no divine support, whereas every contingent thing must have a support. So, what you consider to be inanimate is in fact alive.<sup>6</sup>

Ibn 'Arabî is not denying the existence of inanimate things. Rather, he wants to point out that such talk is true only from a certain point of view. Inasmuch as things and objects "exist"—that is, inasmuch as they have *wujûd*, which is being along with life and consciousness—they are in fact alive. However, inasmuch as they do not exist, they are dead. Given that, in themselves, they have no claim upon *wujûd*, they are dead in themselves. However, their cosmic situation is contingent upon participation in *wujûd*, so, to the extent that they are present in the cosmos, they are alive. Some, however, are more alive than others, and our understanding of the meaning of life determines where we draw the line between life and death. Such lines always have something of the arbitrary about them.

We can also say that everything other than the Real is woven of *wujûd* and nonexistence, so everything other than the Real is relatively alive and relatively dead. We experience life only in relation to death, so every experience of life is also an experience of death, and every experience of death is also the experience of life. Discerning the nature of the diverse appearances of life and death becomes the task of discerning the intensity of *wujûd* in contingent things. This is Mullâ Sadrâ's project when he speaks of *taḥkîk*, the "gradation" or "systematic ambiguity" of existence.

In talking of omnipresent life, Ibn 'Arabî explains that "life" is another name for the divine mercy, which gives rise to the universe and which, according to the *Koran*, "embraces everything" (6:156). In many passages, he speaks of the genesis of the universe in terms of the "Breath of the All-merciful" (*nafas al-rahmân*). "All-merciful" is one of the chief divine names in the *Koran*. Ibn 'Arabî points out that mercy is in fact the Koranic, and hence mythic, designation for what the philosophical tradition calls *wujûd*. As for "breath," it is universally recognized as the necessary concomitant of life. The symbolic resonance of the term breath, however, gives it the ability to convey (more directly than the word life) the concrete, embodied reality that is at issue.<sup>7</sup>

In describing the Breath of the All-Merciful, Ibn 'Arabî says that God breathes living and compassionate *wujûd* into the virtualities of all things, which are latent in the divine omniscience, thereby giving birth to the cosmos. This inbreathing is accompanied by the traces of specific divine attributes that determine the nature of each creature. Hence the *Koran* speaks of the divine inbreathing in terms of speech, which is articulated breath. For example, "Our only word to a thing, when We desire it, is to say to it 'Be!', so it comes to be" (16:40). God articulates each creature as a "word" in his own breath, so the underlying substance of each thing is breath. This breath is simply the divine life, or the universal spirit, or the overflowing mercy of omniscient and omnipresent *wujûd*. As Ibn 'Arabî writes, "Through life He has mercy upon the cosmos, for life is the sphere of the 'mercy' that 'embraces everything' [*Koran* 6:156]."<sup>8</sup>

## Traversing the Circle

In both Islamic philosophy and Sufism, the cosmos is seen as the delimitation, concretization, and sedimentation of *wujûd*, which is infinite mercy and absolute life. When God speaks, the cosmos moves from the undifferentiation of the All-Merciful Breath to embodied discourse, becoming manifest as a never-ending tale, fraught with meaning. Like words emerging from a human speaker, beings and objects become articulated and then disappear, only to be renewed in the next Breath, which is the next instant. The cosmos undergoes constant transmutation, eternally emerging from the Breath and eternally disappearing back from whence it came.

If we think of the cosmos—that is, "everything other than God"—as the divine breath within which words are constantly appearing and disappearing, we can also think of the great chain of being that structures the cosmos as a hierarchy of meaning and awareness. But there are two endless movements in the chain. In one respect, there is an emergence, beginning with words whose meanings are universal and all-comprehensive and ending with words whose meanings are particular and specific. In another respect, there is a submergence, beginning from specific and particular words and ending with the comprehensive and universal.

The emerging movement is the descent from the Origin, or the centrifugal flight from the Center. The submerging movement is the ascent back to the Origin, or the centripetal flow to the Center. This process of flight and return is not understood in temporal terms. Rather, it is seen as an ever-present, on-going, moment by moment occurrence. At every time and in every place, *wujûd* is simultaneously descending and ascending, appearing and disappearing, emerging and submerging. In the midst of all this, it is the task of the philosopher to discern the relevant modality in any given situation. Typically, he discusses the two grand movements under the rubric "Origin and Return" (*al-mabda' wa'l-ma'âd*)—a phrase that Avicenna and Mullâ Sadrâ both employed as titles of books.

In describing the trajectory of the originating and centrifugal movement, the Muslim thinkers insist that the manifestation of life begins in the fullness of unified awareness and consciousness. They call this fullness by a variety of names, such as "divine light," "divine spirit," "first intellect," "supreme pen," and, as we have seen, "breath of the All-Merciful." As this living and aware light emerges from its source, its blinding radiance is diminished and diversified. When it becomes sufficiently dim, it appears as realms that allow for various sorts of perception. The lower reaches of the descent are commonly called "heaven," "earth," and the "elements." At the lowest point, the flow of life and light reverses direction.

In the descending movement from the Origin, life remains invisible and traceless, first in the spiritual realm, then in the imaginal or celestial realm, and then in the four, elements, which do not exist as such in time and space. In the returning movement, the combination of the four elements gives rise to the visible and temporal realm of inanimate things, plants, and animals, and the traces of life begin to appear in the indefinite diversity of perceptible forms. The apparently inanimate world turns out to be a seedbed where the outward forms of life sprout and grow.

confines. In the next world there is no crowding or interference, and nothing there is located inside or outside anything else. Rather, every human being, whether felicitous or wretched, will have a world complete in itself, greater than this world, and not strung on the same string as any other world. Every one of the folk of felicity will have the kingdom that he desires, however vast he may desire it to be.<sup>11</sup>

## Notes

<sup>1</sup> "Differentiation and Unity: The Self-Individuating Life Process," in *Life: Differentiation and Harmony . . . Vegetal, Animal, Human*, Analecta Husserliana LVII (1998), p. 9.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 28.

<sup>3</sup> This is not to suggest that either the Sufis or the philosophers think that the mere fact of being human provides the necessary discernment to experience and understand the embodied image. The *Koran* differentiates clearly between those who know and those who do not know. The philosophers call those who know "philosophers" and those who do not know "the common people." For Ibn 'Arabī, those who have achieved the fullness of human reality are the "perfect human beings," and those who remain heedless are "animal human beings." In one passage he writes, "When it falls to the ears of the human being that he is created in the image [*ṣūra*] of the Real, and when he does not differentiate between perfect human beings and animal human beings, he imagines that the human being is in the image of God simply because he is human, but this is not so. Rather, because he is human, he is receptive to the divine image. This means that when it is bestowed, he is not prevented from receiving it. But, only when it is bestowed is he in God's image and counted among the vicegerents of God. Such a person acts in accordance with the activity of the Real" (*al-Futūḥāt al-makkiyya*, Cairo: 1911, volume 4, p. 85, line 22).

<sup>4</sup> See Chittick, *The Heart of Islamic Philosophy: The Quest for Self-Knowledge in the Teachings of Afdal al-Dīn Kāshānī* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2001), pp. 41-45.

<sup>5</sup> *Futūḥāt*, vol. 2, p. 107.26.

<sup>6</sup> *Futūḥāt*, vol. 3, p. 324.20. In the continuation of this passage, Ibn 'Arabī explains that when something "dies," this does not mean that the body is really dead, because, like all other things, it is inherently alive. Rather, God has disconnected one living thing from another living thing. What we call the "life" of an animal is the fact that an invisible, spiritual being, commonly known as a "soul" or a "spirit," has been given control over another living thing, commonly known as an "inanimate body." Ibn 'Arabī writes, "As for death, it is the separation of a living, governing thing from a living, governed thing, for both the governor and the governed are alive. The separation is a relation of nonexistence, not of existence. It is merely dismissal from rulership."

<sup>7</sup> One of the best known uses of the word "breath" with the same sort of symbolic resonance is found in the Upanishads (*prāṇa*). Ibn 'Arabī's arguments about the primacy of life among the divine attributes has striking analogies with the explications of the primacy of breath among the divinities in the *Bṛhadaranyaka Upanishad* (1.3.1-1.8, 6.1) and the *Chandogya Upanishad* (5.1-2).

<sup>8</sup> *Futūḥāt*, vol. 2, p. 107.25.

<sup>9</sup> *Asfār*, vol. 7, pp. 72-73; quoted in Chittick, *Elixir of the Gnostics* (Provo UT: Brigham Young University Text, 2003), p. xxiv.

<sup>10</sup> *Futūḥāt*, vol. 3, p. 223.31. Quoted, along with several other relevant passages, in Chittick, *Imaginal Worlds* (Albany NY: SUNY Press, 1994), chapter 7.

<sup>11</sup> *Arshīyya*, edited by Gh. Aḥant (Isfahan, 1341/1962), p. 252. For this passage in context, see James Morris's translation of this work: *The Wisdom of the Throne* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1981), p. 165.

*Founder and Editor:*

Anna-Teresa Tymieniecka

*Co-Editor:*

Gholam Reza A'awani, Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute

*Editorial Board:*

Mehdi Aminrazavi, Department of Classics, Philosophy and Religion, Mary Washington College

Angela Ales Bello, Rome

Patrick Burke, Department of Philosophy, Seattle University

William Chittick, Comparative Studies, State University of New York at Stony Brook

Nader El-Bizri, Dept. of History & Philosophy of Science, University of Cambridge

Lenn E. Goodman, Department of Philosophy, Vanderbilt University

Hassan Hanafi, Department of Philosophy, College of Arts, Cairo University

James G. Hart, Department of Religious Studies, Indiana University

Walter Lamm, Department of English, The American University in Cairo

Robert D. Sweeney, Department of Philosophy, John Carroll University

Seyyed Mostafa Mohaghegh Damad Ahmad Abadi, Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute

Reza Davari Ardakani, Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute

Ibrahim Dinani, Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute

Seyyed Mohammed Khamenei, Sadra Islamic Philosophy Research Institute

# Islamic Philosophy and Occidental Phenomenology on the Perennial Issue of Microcosm and Macrocosm

Edited by

Anna-Teresa Tymieniecka

*The World Institute for Advanced Phenomenological Research and Learning*